

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 15-30377

v.

MATTHEW DAVID KUPPE
Defendant.

MAG. JUDGE ELIZABETH A. STAFFORD

_____ /

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that Defendant be detained pending trial.

Part I – Findings of Fact

A. Eligibility. This case is eligible for a Detention Hearing (18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)), for the reasons checked below in this Part I A:

- ☒ (1) Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), upon the government's motion in a case that involves
- ☒ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of section 1591, or an offense listed in 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; **or**
- ☐ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death;
- or**
- ☐ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; **or**

- ☐ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or**
- ☐ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
 - ☐ (i) a minor victim, **or**
 - ☐ (ii) the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in section 921), **or**
 - ☐ (iii) any other dangerous weapon, **or**
 - ☐ (iv) involves a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.
- ☐ (2) Under 18 U.S.C. 3142(f)(2), upon the government's motion or the court's own motion in a case that involves
 - ☐ (a) a serious risk that such person will flee; **or**
 - ☐ (b) a serious risk that such person will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate, a prospective witness or juror.

B. Rebuttable Presumption. A rebuttable presumption for detention exists in this case if reasons are checked below in this Part I B.

- (1) Defendant on Release Pending Trial (18 U.S.C. § 3142 (e)(2)):** A rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community arises when
 - ☐ (a) Defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), and has previously been convicted of a crime listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or comparable state or local offense; **and**
 - ☐ (b) The offense was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state, or local offense; **and**

☐ (c) A period of less than five years has elapsed since

☐ (i) the date of conviction, **or**

☐ (ii) Defendant's release from prison.

(2) **Probable Cause Findings (18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)):** A rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community arises when there is probable cause to believe that Defendant has committed an offense

☐ (a) for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46; **or**

☐ (b) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device in relation to a crime of violence or drug trafficking crime), 18 U.S.C. § 956(a) (conspiracy to kill, kidnap, maim, or injure persons or damage property in a foreign country), or 18 U.S.C. § 2332b (acts of terrorism transcending national boundaries); **or**

☐ (c) listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (federal crimes of terrorism) for which the prison term is 10 or more years; **or**

☐ (d) under Chapter 77 of Title 18, United States Code, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed (i.e., 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581, 1583, 1584, 1589, and 1594)(slavery); **or**

☒ (e) involving a minor victim as listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E).

Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes

☒ by clear and convincing evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the community; **or**

☐ by a preponderance of the evidence that, for the reasons set forth below, there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance; **or**

☐ both of the above.

Statement of reasons for detention pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3142(i):

The Government proffered evidence that Kuppe, who was working as a camp counselor, took and posted on a sharing website nude photographs of young boys that were taken in a locker room; that he had familiarized and positioned himself with the young boys even though he had no duty to supervise them; even though he had no duty to supervise those young boys; that Kuppe has admitted to having viewed and exchanged child pornography, and represented by email that he had engaged in sexual conduct with young boys which, even if only fantasies (as he claims), deems him a significant danger to young children; and that Kuppe's home is nearby a school (within 400 feet).

Kuppe proffered evidence that he was a high achieving student who came from a close-knit family. He indicated that he could be released and subjected to home detention, could be supervised at all times by his parents (who work from home), would agree to have no access to the internet or children, and would be subject to all of the mandatory provisions of the Adam Walsh Child Protection Act. The Government countered that Kuppe committed his crimes without his parents' or co-workers' detection, and the Government and Pretrial Services Officers (who opined that there were no conditions that could reasonably assure the safety of the community) informed the Court

that other offenders who were charged with the same crimes had found ways to continue their violative conduct despite stringent conditions.

The Court finds this to be a difficult case. However, given Kuppe's close proximity to children, his sexual interest in children, his high intelligence and history of covert and exploitive conduct, and given the representations that similar offenders are adept at finding ways to engage in violative conduct despite tough restrictions, the Court was not convinced that there were conditions or a combination of conditions that could be imposed that would reasonably assure the safety of the community.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. Defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of a United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver Defendant to the United States Marshal for a court appearance.

Review of this Order is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 3145 and E.D. Mich. L.R. 57.2.

Date: August 18, 2015

S/ELIZABETH A. STAFFORD

Elizabeth A. Stafford
United States Magistrate Judge